

From Molecular Dynamics to Random Walks: Students Construct Computational Models in an Interdisciplinary Science Program (Poster)

Haim Edri

Weizmann Institute of Science

haim.edri@weizmann.ac.il**Bat-Sheva Eylon**

Weizmann Institute of Science

bat-sheva.eylon@weizmann.ac.il**Sam Safran**

Weizmann Institute of Science

sam.safran@weizmann.ac.il**Nava Schulmann**

Weizmann Institute of Science

nava.schulmann@weizmann.ac.il**Edit Yerushalmi**

Weizmann Institute of Science

edit.yerushalmi@weizmann.ac.il

Abstract

Recent position papers have called to reform introductory science curricula to reflect contemporary scientific practices such as computational thinking (States NGSS Lead, 2013) and interdisciplinary research (AAMC-HHMI, 2009). For example, phenomena of interest to chemists, engineers or biologists, in which molecules organize themselves into stable structures at the micro level (e.g. liquid crystalline ordering in LCD screens, or change in form of cell membrane), are analyzed by physicists in terms of "competition" between the molecules' random, thermal motion and the inter-molecular interactions.

The literature points out that instead of viewing these processes as emergent from the collective interactions of the many-particle constituents of the system, students often explain them as the product of direct causal processes (Chi, Roscoe, Slotta, Roy, & Chase, 2012). It was therefore suggested that computational models that relate the macroscopic properties of the system to the constituents of the system can help develop students' understanding of emergent phenomena (Levy & Wilensky, 2008). Computational modeling enables students to picture phenomena microscopically and dynamically in a step by step manner (Chabay & Sherwood, 2008).

In high-school physics the focus is on Newtonian mechanics - predicting trajectories of point particles subjected to interactions with other objects. This deterministic approach might result in the erroneous explanation of emergent phenomena in terms of direct processes. We hypothesize that bridging deterministic mechanical laws, governing the local, short-time behavior of the constituents of the system, with a higher level (both temporally and spatially) view of the effectively random motion of particles in a many-body system, will allow students to develop a more coherent understanding of emergent phenomena.

To examine this hypothesis we designed a curricular sequence in which students construct different computational models for phenomena such as diffusion: a deterministic molecular dynamics model, an intermediate model and a random walk model, and justify the validity and appropriateness of each approach. The sequence was implemented in an experimental program for scientifically oriented excelling 10th grade students at a regional science center (School for Contemporary Science, the Davidson Institute of Science

*Proceedings of the 11th Chais Conference for the Study of Innovation and Learning Technologies:
Learning in the Technological Era*

Y. Eshet-Alkalai, I. Blau, A. Caspi, N. Geri, Y. Kalman, V. Silber-Varod (Eds.), Raanana: The Open University of Israel

Education, Weizmann Institute.). As part of evaluation of a pilot implementation of the program we asked students to draw concept maps portraying the sequence of ideas that were introduced. We found that the students focused on the phenomena (i.e. diffusion, osmosis) rather than the assumptions underlying different models (e.g. molecular-dynamics, random-walk). A 2nd version of the program is currently designed to respond to the above findings.

Keywords: Interdisciplinary, Micro-macro, emergent phenomena, computational modeling.

References

- AAMC – HHMI Committee. (2009). Scientific foundations for future physicians. Association of American Medical Colleges.
- AAMC-HHMI, C. (2009). Scientific foundations for future physicians. Scientific Foundations for Future Physicians: Natl. Academy Press.
- Chabay, R., & Sherwood, B. (2008). Computational physics in the introductory calculus-based course. *American Journal of Physics*, 76(4), 307-313.
- Chi, M. T., Roscoe, R. D., Slotta, J. D., Roy, M., & Chase, C. C. (2012). Misconceived causal explanations for emergent processes. *Cognitive Science*, 36(1), 1-61.
- Levy, S. T., & Wilensky, U. (2008). Inventing a “mid level” to make ends meet: Reasoning between the levels of complexity. *Cognition and Instruction*, 26.1 , 1-47.
- Lin, H. S. (2010). Report of a Workshop on the Scope and Nature of Computational Thinking. Washington, D.C.: The National Academies Press.
- Scherer, D., Dubois, P., & Sherwood, B. (2000). VPython: 3D interactive scientific graphics for students. *Computing in Science & Engineering*, 5 , 56-62.
- Sherin, B. L. (2001). A comparison of programming languages and algebraic notation as expressive languages for physics. *International Journal of Computers for Mathematical Learning*, 6(1), 1-61.
- States NGSS Lead. (2013). Next Generation Science Standards: For States, By States.
- Tobocznik, J., & Gould, H. (2008). Teaching statistical physics by thinking about models and algorithms. *American Journal of Physics*, 76(4), 353-359.